

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/072,417	02/05/2002	Joseph M. Brand	MI22-1939	4224	
21567 75	590 03/14/2003				
WELLS ST. JOHN ROBERTS GREGORY & MATKIN P.S. 601 W. FIRST AVENUE SUITE 1300			EXAMINER		
			GREENE, PERSHELLE L		
SPOKANE, WA 99201-3828		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2826		

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application N		pplicant(s)	A/		
	•				N.4		
Office Action Summary		10/072,417		BRAND, JOSEPH M.			
	Omce Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Pershelle Green		2826	ldress		
Period f	The mailing date of this communication ap or Faply	pears on the co.	er ancer war are	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
A SH THE - External afternal - If th - Fail - Any earr	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuly reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing part of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, he ply within the statutory if will apply and will expite cause the application	owever, may a reply be til minimum of thirty (30) day ire SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timel n the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05	February 2002					
لط(ا [_](2a	·	his action is non					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for	formal matters, p	rosecution as to th	ne merits is		
, —	closed in accordance with the practice unde tion of Claims	r Ex parte Quay	le, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
4)⊠	Claim(s) $41-70$ is/are pending in the applicat						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consid	eration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>41-70</u> is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requ	irement.				
* -	tion Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to						
11)[_	The proposed drawing correction filed on			Oved by the Exami	ICI.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	exammer.					
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		- 05 11 0 0 5 440	(a) (d) as (f)			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119((a)-(d) or (i).			
a	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume			tion No			
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume				l Ctogo		
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Esee the attached detailed Office action for a lie	Bureau (PCT Ru	le 17.2(a)).		i Stage		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome				al application).		
	 a) The translation of the foreign language p Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome 	orovisional applic	cation has been re	eceived.			
Attachm							
2) No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5)		ary (PTO-413) Paper N al Patent Application (P			

Application/Control Number: 10/072,417

Art Unit: 2826

Serial Number: 10/072417

Attorney's Docket #: M122-1939

Filing Date: 02/05/2002

Applicant: Brand, Joseph Examiner: Pershelle Greene

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 41-70 are being rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-39 of U.S. Patent No. 6,355,985. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application define the same basic invention as the patent, but simply vary the scope.

For example, claim 41 of the application is simply a broader version of claim 18 of the patent. I would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to claim the invention in the broad way possible so as to maximize patent protection.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/072,417

Art Unit: 2826

4.

Specification

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 41-70 are being rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corisis et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,326,687).

As to claims 41-70, Corisis et al. shows, referring to figure 2, a semiconductor die 24 coupled with a lead 36 thermally coupled with a heat sink 22 comprising leads 40 with an encapsulant 12 around a portion of the heat sink. The heat sink is positioned substantially between an entirety of the heat sink and the die. Referring to figure 9, there is a second encapsulant 84 surrounding the heat sink and the die. The leads of both the die and heat sink extend outward from the housing. The housing comprises a plurality of sides, however both leads extend from the same side of the housing. Referring to figure 7A, you will see the leads can be bent for horizontal mounting. The leads in the figure terminate in different directions. It is noted however, the bending of the leads and the direction in which they terminate are a matter of design choice. Since there are bent lends show it is obvious that the leads comprise an angle. Corisis et al. (6,326,687) fails to explicitly show a die comprising memory circuitry.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/072,417

Art Unit: 2826

Corisis et al. (6,284,571) is cited for showing a lead frame assembly with voltage reference plane and IC packages including the same. Specifically, Corisis et al. discloses, referring to column 7 lines 7-15, the use of memory circuitry with a package comprising a heat sink.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the memory circuitry of Corisis et al. (6,284,571) with the device of Corisis et al. (6,326,687) for the purpose of increasing the capacity and speed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pershelle Greene whose telephone number is 703-305-3870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on 703-308-6601. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

NATHAN J. FLYNN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

PLG March 7, 2003 Application/Control Number: 10/072,417

Art Unit: 2826

Page 5